Plac: Parsing the Command Line the Easy Way

Author:	Michele Simionato
E-mail:	michele.simionato@gmail.com
Date:	August 2010
Download page:	http://pypi.python.org/pypi/plac
Project page:	http://micheles.googlecode.com/hg/plac/doc/plac.html
Requires:	Python 2.3+
Installation:	easy_install -U plac
License:	BSD license

Contents

Plac: Parsing the Command Line the Easy Way	1
The importance of scaling down	3
Scripts with required arguments	3
Scripts with default arguments	5
Scripts with options (and smart options)	7
Scripts with flags	9
plac for Python 2.X users	9
More features	10
A realistic example	12
Keyword arguments	13
Final example: a shelve interface	14
plac vs argparse	16
plac vs the rest of the world	18
The future	18
Trivia: the story behind the name	18
Advanced usages of plac	19
Introduction	19
From scripts to interactive applications	19
Testing a plac application	21
Plac easy tests	22
Plac batch scripts	23
Implementing subcommands	24
plac.Interpreter.call	26
Readline support	28
The plac runner	29
A non class-based example	31
Writing your own plac runner	33
Long running commands	34
Threaded commands	35
Running commands as external processes	37
Managing the output of concurrent commands	38
Parallel computing with plac	39
The plac server	41
Summary	41
Appendix: custom annotation objects	42

The importance of scaling down

There is no want of command line arguments parsers in the Python world. The standard library alone contains three different modules: getopt (from the stone age), optparse (from Python 2.3) and argparse (from Python 2.7). All of them are quite powerful and especially argparse is an industrial strength solution; unfortunately, all of them feature a non-zero learning curve and a certain verbosity. They do not scale down well, at least in my opinion.

It should not be necessary to stress the importance scaling down; nevertheless, a lot of people are obsessed with features and concerned with the possibility of scaling up, forgetting the equally important issue of scaling down. This is an old meme in the computing world: programs should address the common cases simply and simple things should be kept simple, while at the same keeping difficult things possible. plac adhere as much as possible to this philosophy and it is designed to handle well the simple cases, while retaining the ability to handle complex cases by relying on the underlying power of argparse.

Technically plac is just a simple wrapper over argparse which hides most of its complexity by using a declarative interface: the argument parser is inferred rather than written down by imperatively. Still, plac is surprisingly scalable upwards, even without using the underlying argparse. I have been using Python for 8 years and in my experience it is extremely unlikely that you will ever need to go beyond the features provided by the declarative interface of plac: they should be more than enough for 99.9% of the use cases.

plac is targetting especially unsophisticated users, programmers, sys-admins, scientists and in general people writing throw-away scripts for themselves, choosing the command line interface because it is the quick and simple. Such users are not interested in features, they are interested in a small learning curve: they just want to be able to write a simple command line tool from a simple specification, not to build a command-line parser by hand. Unfortunately, the modules in the standard library forces them to go the hard way. They are designed to implement power user tools and they have a non-trivial learning curve. On the contrary, plac is designed to be simple to use and extremely concise, as the examples below will show.

Scripts with required arguments

Let me start with the simplest possible thing: a script that takes a single argument and does something to it. It cannot get simpler than that, unless you consider a script without command-line arguments, where there is nothing to parse. Still, it is a use case *extremely common*: I need to write scripts like that nearly every day, I wrote hundreds of them in the last few years and I have never been happy. Here is a typical example of code I have been writing by hand for years:

```
# example1.py
def main(dsn):
    "Do something with the database"
    print(dsn)
    # ...

if __name__ == '__main__':
    import sys
    n = len(sys.argv[1:])
    if n == 0:
        sys.exit('usage: python %s dsn' % sys.argv[0])
    elif n == 1:
        main(sys.argv[1])
    else:
        sys.exit('Unrecognized arguments: %s' % ' '.join(sys.argv[2:]))
```

As you see the whole if __name__ == '__main__' block (nine lines) is essentially boilerplate that should not exist. Actually I think the language should recognize the main function and pass to it the

command-line arguments automatically; unfortunaly this is unlikely to happen. I have been writing boilerplate like this in hundreds of scripts for years, and every time I hate it. The purpose of using a scripting language is convenience and trivial things should be trivial. Unfortunately the standard library does not help for this incredibly common use case. Using getopt and optparse does not help, since they are intended to manage options and not positional arguments; the argparse module helps a bit and it is able to reduce the boilerplate from nine lines to six lines:

```
# example2.py
def main(dsn):
    "Do something on the database"
    print(dsn)
    # ...
if __name__ == '__main__':
    import argparse
    p = argparse.ArgumentParser()
    p.add_argument('dsn')
    arg = p.parse_args()
    main(arg.dsn)
```

However saving three lines does not justify introducing the external dependency: most people will not switch to Python 2.7, which at the time of this writing is just about to be released, for many years. Moreover, it just feels too complex to instantiate a class and to define a parser by hand for such a trivial task.

The plac module is designed to manage well such use cases, and it is able to reduce the original nine lines of boiler plate to two lines. With the plac module all you need to write is

```
# example3.py
def main(dsn):
    "Do something with the database"
    print(dsn)
    # ...
if __name__ == '__main__':
    import plac; plac.call(main)
```

The plac module provides for free (actually the work is done by the underlying argparse module) a nice usage message:

```
$ python example3.py -h
```

```
usage: example3.py [-h] dsn
Do something with the database
positional arguments:
   dsn
optional arguments:
   -h, --help show this help message and exit
```

Moreover plac manages the case of missing arguments and of too many arguments. This is only the tip of the iceberg: plac is able to do much more than that.

Scripts with default arguments

The need to have suitable defaults for command-line scripts is quite common. For instance I have encountered this use case at work hundreds of times:

```
# example4.py
from datetime import datetime

def main(dsn, table='product', today=datetime.today()):
    "Do something on the database"
    print(dsn, table, today)

if __name__ == '__main__':
    import sys
    args = sys.argv[1:]
    if not args:
        sys.exit('usage: python %s dsn' % sys.argv[0])
    elif len(args) > 2:
        sys.exit('Unrecognized arguments: %s' % ' '.join(argv[2:]))
    main(*args)
```

Here I want to perform a query on a database table, by extracting the most recent data: it makes sense for today to be a default argument. If there is a most used table (in this example a table called 'product') it also makes sense to make it a default argument. Performing the parsing of the command-line arguments by hand takes 8 ugly lines of boilerplate (using argparse would require about the same number of lines). With plac the entire __main__ block reduces to the usual two lines:

```
if __name__ == '__main__':
    import plac; plac.call(main)
```

In other words, six lines of boilerplate have been removed, and we get the usage message for free:

```
usage: example5.py [-h] dsn [table] [today]
Do something on the database
positional arguments:
   dsn
   table
   today
optional arguments:
   -h, --help show this help message and exit
```

plac manages transparently even the case when you want to pass a variable number of arguments. Here is an example, a script running on a database a series of SQL scripts:

```
# example7.py
from datetime import datetime

def main(dsn, *scripts):
    "Run the given scripts on the database"
    for script in scripts:
        print('executing %s' % script)
```

```
# ...
if __name__ == '__main__':
    import plac; plac.call(main)
```

Here is the usage message:

```
usage: example7.py [-h] dsn [scripts [scripts ...]]
Run the given scripts on the database
positional arguments:
    dsn
    scripts
optional arguments:
    -h, --help show this help message and exit
```

The examples here should have made clear that *plac is able to figure out the command-line arguments parser to use from the signature of the main function.* This is the whole idea behind plac: if the intent is clear, let's the machine take care of the details.

plac is inspired to an old Python Cookbook recipe (optionparse), in the sense that it delivers the programmer from the burden of writing the parser, but is less of a hack: instead of extracting the parser from the docstring of the module, it extracts it from the signature of the main function.

The idea comes from the *function annotations* concept, a new feature of Python 3. An example is worth a thousand words, so here it is:

```
# example7_.py
from datetime import datetime

def main(dsn: "Database dsn", *scripts: "SQL scripts"):
    "Run the given scripts on the database"
    for script in scripts:
        print('executing %s' % script)
        # ...

if __name__ == '__main__':
    import plac; plac.call(main)
```

Here the arguments of the main function have been annotated with strings which are intented to be used in the help message:

```
usage: example7_.py [-h] dsn [scripts [scripts ...]]
Run the given scripts on the database
positional arguments:
    dsn         Database dsn
    scripts        SQL scripts
optional arguments:
    -h, --help show this help message and exit
```

plac is able to recognize much more complex annotations, as I will show in the next paragraphs.

Scripts with options (and smart options)

It is surprising how few command-line scripts with options I have written over the years (probably less than a hundred), compared to the number of scripts with positional arguments I wrote (certainly more than a thousand of them). Still, this use case cannot be neglected. The standard library modules (all of them) are quite verbose when it comes to specifying the options and frankly I have never used them directly. Instead, I have always relied on the optionparse recipe, which provides a convenient wrapper over optionparse. Alternatively, in the simplest cases, I have just performed the parsing by hand. In plac the parser is inferred by the function annotations. Here is an example:

```
# example8.py
def main(command: ("SQL query", 'option', 'c'), dsn):
    if command:
        print('executing %s on %s' % (command, dsn))
        # ...
if __name__ == '__main__':
    import plac; plac.call(main)
```

Here the argument command has been annotated with the tuple ("SQL query", 'option', 'c'): the first string is the help string which will appear in the usage message, the second string tells plac that command is an option and the third string that there is also a short form of the option -c, the long form being --command. The usage message is the following:

Here are two examples of usage:

```
$ python3 example8.py -c"select * from table" dsn
executing select * from table on dsn
$ python3 example8.py --command="select * from table" dsn
executing select * from table on dsn
```

The third argument in the function annotation can be omitted: in such case it will be assumed to be None. The consequence is that the usual dichotomy between long and short options (GNU-style options) disappears: we get *smart options*, which have the single character prefix of short options and behave like both long and short options, since they can be abbreviated. Here is an example featuring smart options:

```
# example6.py
def main(dsn, command: ("SQL query", 'option')):
    print('executing %r on %s' % (command, dsn))
if __name__ == '__main__':
```

import plac; plac.call(main)

```
usage: example6.py [-h] [-command COMMAND] dsn
positional arguments:
   dsn
optional arguments:
   -h, --help show this help message and exit
   -command COMMAND SQL query
```

The following are all valid invocations of the script:

```
$ python3 example6.py -c "select" dsn
executing 'select' on dsn
$ python3 example6.py -com "select" dsn
executing 'select' on dsn
$ python3 example6.py -command="select" dsn
executing 'select' on dsn
```

Notice that the form -command=SQL is recognized only for the full option, not for its abbreviations:

```
$ python3 example6.py -com="select" dsn
usage: example6.py [-h] [-command COMMAND] dsn
example6.py: error: unrecognized arguments: -com=select
```

If the option is not passed, the variable command will get the value None. However, it is possible to specify a non-trivial default. Here is an example:

```
# example8_.py
def main(dsn, command: ("SQL query", 'option')='select * from table'):
    print('executing %r on %s' % (command, dsn))

if __name__ == '__main__':
    import plac; plac.call(main)
```

Notice that the default value appears in the help message:

When you run the script and you do not pass the -command option, the default query will be executed:

```
$ python3 example8_.py dsn
executing 'select * from table' on dsn
```

Scripts with flags

plac is able to recognize flags, i.e. boolean options which are True if they are passed to the command line and False if they are absent. Here is an example:

```
# example9.py
def main(verbose: ('prints more info', 'flag', 'v'), dsn: 'connection string'):
    if verbose:
        print('connecting to %s' % dsn)
    # ...
if __name__ == '__main__':
    import plac; plac.call(main)
```

usage: example9.py [-h] [-v] dsn

positional arguments: dsn connection string

optional arguments: -h, --help show this help message and exit -v, --verbose prints more info

\$ python3 example9.py -v dsn
connecting to dsn

Notice that it is an error trying to specify a default for flags: the default value for a flag is always False. If you feel the need to implement non-boolean flags, you should use an option with two choices, as explained in the "more features" section.

For consistency with the way the usage message is printed, I suggest you to follow the Flag-Option-Required-Default (FORD) convention: in the main function write first the flag arguments, then the option arguments, then the required arguments and finally the default arguments. This is just a convention and you are not forced to use it, except for the default arguments (including the varargs) which must stay at the end as it is required by the Python syntax.

I also suggests to specify a one-character abbreviation for flags: in this way you can use the GNU-style composition of flags (i.e. -zxvf is an abbreviation of -z -x -v -f). I usually do not provide the one-character abbreviation for options, since it does not make sense to compose them.

plac for Python 2.X users

I do not use Python 3. At work we are just starting to think about migrating to Python 2.6. It will take years before we think to migrate to Python 3. I am pretty much sure most Pythonistas are in the same situation. Therefore plac provides a way to work with function annotations even in Python 2.X (including Python 2.3). There is no magic involved; you just need to add the annotations by hand. For instance the annotated function declaration

is equivalent to the following code:

```
def main(dsn, *scripts):
    ...
main.__annotations__ = dict(
    dsn="Database dsn",
    scripts="SQL scripts")
```

One should be careful to match the keys of the annotation dictionary with the names of the arguments in the annotated function; for lazy people with Python 2.4 available the simplest way is to use the plac.annotations decorator that performs the check for you:

```
@plac.annotations(
    dsn="Database dsn",
    scripts="SQL scripts")
def main(dsn, *scripts):
    ...
```

In the rest of this article I will assume that you are using Python 2.X with $X \ge 4$ and I will use the plac.annotations decorator. Notice however that the core features of plac run even on Python 2.3.

More features

One of the goals of plac is to have a learning curve of *minutes* for its core features, compared to the learning curve of *hours* of argparse. In order to reach this goal, I have *not* sacrificed all the features of argparse. Actually a lot of argparse power persists in plac. Until now, I have only showed simple annotations, but in general an annotation is a 6-tuple of the form

(help, kind, abbrev, type, choices, metavar)

where help is the help message, kind is a string in the set { "flag", "option", "positional"}, abbrev is a one-character string or None, type is a callable taking a string in input, choices is a discrete sequence of values and metavar is a string.

type is used to automagically convert the command line arguments from the string type to any Python type; by default there is no conversion and type=None.

choices is used to restrict the number of the valid options; by default there is no restriction i.e. choices=None.

metavar has two meanings. For a positional argument it is used to change the argument name in the usage message (and only there). By default the metavar is None and the name in the usage message is the same as the argument name. For an option the metavar is used differently in the usage message, which has now the form [--option-name METAVAR]. If the metavar is None, then it is equal to the uppercased name of the argument, unless the argument has a default and in such a case is equal to the stringified form of the default.

Here is an example showing many of the features (copied from the argparse documentation):

```
# example10.py
import plac
@plac.annotations(
operator=("The name of an operator", 'positional', None, str, ['add', 'mul']),
numbers=("A number", 'positional', None, float, None, "n"))
def main(operator, *numbers):
    "A script to add and multiply numbers"
    if operator == 'mul':
        op = float.__mul__
```

```
result = 1.0
else: # operator == 'add'
    op = float.__add__
    result = 0.0
for n in numbers:
    result = op(result, n)
return result
if __name__ == '__main__':
    print(plac.call(main))
```

Here is the usage:

```
usage: example10.py [-h] {add,mul} [n [n ...]]
A script to add and multiply numbers
positional arguments:
    {add,mul} The name of an operator
    n A number
optional arguments:
    -h, --help show this help message and exit
```

Notice that the docstring of the main function has been automatically added to the usage message. Here are a couple of examples of use:

```
$ python example10.py add 1 2 3 4
10.0
$ python example10.py mul 1 2 3 4
24.0
$ python example10.py ad 1 2 3 4 # a mispelling error
usage: example10.py [-h] {add,mul} [n [n ...]]
example10.py: error: argument operator: invalid choice: 'ad' (choose from 'add', 'mul')
```

plac.call can also be used in doctests like this:

```
>>> import plac, example10
>>> plac.call(example10.main, ['add', '1', '2'])
3.0
```

plac.call works for generators too:

```
>>> def main(n):
... for i in range(int(n)):
... yield i
>>> plac.call(main, ['3'])
[0, 1, 2]
```

Internally plac.call tries to convert the output of the main function into a list, if possible. If the output is not iterable or it is a string, it is left unchanged, but if it is iterable it is converted. In particular, generator objects are exhausted by plac.call.

This behavior avoids mistakes like forgetting of applying <code>list(result)</code> to the result of <code>plac.call;</code> moreover it makes errors visible early, and avoids mistakes in code like the following:

```
try:
    result = plac.call(main, args)
except:
    # do something
```

Without the "listify" functionality, a main function returning a generator object would not raise any exception until the generator is iterated over.

If you are a fan of lazyness, you can still have it by setting the eager flag to False, as in the following example:

```
for line in plac.call(main, args, eager=False):
    print(line)
```

If main returns a generator object this example will print each line as soon as available, whereas the default behaviour is to print all the lines together and the end of the computation.

A realistic example

Here is a more realistic script using most of the features of plac to run SQL queries on a database by relying on SQLAlchemy. Notice the usage of the type feature to automagically convert a SQLAlchemy connection string into a SqlSoup object:

```
# dbcli.py
import plac
from sqlalchemy.ext.sqlsoup import SqlSoup
@plac.annotations(
    db=("Connection string", 'positional', None, SqlSoup),
   header=("Header", 'flag', 'H'),
    sqlcmd=("SQL command", 'option', 'c', str, None, "SQL"),
    delimiter=("Column separator", 'option', 'd'),
    scripts="SQL scripts",
def main(db, header, sqlcmd, delimiter="|", *scripts):
    "A script to run queries and SQL scripts on a database"
    yield 'Working on %s' % db.bind.url
    if sqlcmd:
        result = db.bind.execute(sqlcmd)
        if header: # print the header
            yield delimiter.join(result.keys())
        for row in result: # print the rows
            yield delimiter.join(map(str, row))
    for script in scripts:
        db.bind.execute(file(script).read())
        yield 'executed %s' % script
if __name__ == '__main__':
    for output in plac.call(main):
        print(output)
```

You can see the *yield-is-print* pattern here: instead of using print in the main function, I use yield, and I perform the print in the <u>__main__</u> block. The advantage of the pattern is that tests invoking

plac.call and checking the result become trivial: had I performed the printing in the main function, the test would have involved an ugly hack like redirecting sys.stdout to a StringIO object.

Here is the usage message:

You can check for yourself that the script works.

Keyword arguments

Starting from release 0.4, plac supports keyword arguments. In practice that means that if your main function has keyword arguments, plac treats specially arguments of the form "name=value" in the command line. Here is an example:

```
# example12.py
import plac
@plac.annotations(
   opt=('some option', 'option'),
   args='default arguments',
  kw='keyword arguments')
def main(opt, *args, **kw):
  if opt:
     yield 'opt=%s' % opt
  if args:
     yield 'args=%s' % str(args)
  if kw:
     yield 'kw=%s' % kw
if __name__ == '__main__':
    for output in plac.call(main):
       print(output)
```

Here is the generated usage message:

```
usage: example12.py [-h] [-opt OPT] [args [args ...]] [kw [kw ...]]
positional arguments:
    args    default arguments
    kw         keyword arguments
    optional arguments:
```

-h, --help show this help message and exit -opt OPT some option

Here is how you call the script:

```
$ python example12.py -o X a1 a2 name=value
opt=X
args=('a1', 'a2')
kw={'name': 'value'}
```

When using keyword arguments, one must be careful to use names which are not alreav taken; for instance in this examples the name opt is taken:

```
$ python example12.py 1 2 kw1=1 kw2=2 opt=0
usage: example12.py [-h] [-o OPT] [args [args ...]] [kw [kw ...]]
example12.py: error: colliding keyword arguments: opt
```

The names taken are the names of the flags, of the options, and of the positional arguments, excepted varargs and keywords. This limitation is a consequence of the way the argument names are managed in function calls by the Python language.

Final example: a shelve interface

Here is a less trivial example for the keyword arguments feature. The use case is the following: suppose we have stored the configuration parameters of a given application into a Python shelve and we need a command-line tool to edit the shelve. A possible implementation using plac could be the following:

```
# ishelve.py
import os, shelve, plac
DEFAULT_SHELVE = os.path.expanduser('~/conf.shelve')
@plac.annotations(
    help=('show help', 'flag'),
    showall=('show all parameters in the shelve', 'flag'),
    clear=('clear the shelve', 'flag'),
    delete=('delete an element', 'option'),
    filename=('filename of the shelve', 'option'),
    params='names of the parameters in the shelve',
    setters='setters param=value')
def main(help, showall, clear, delete, filename=DEFAULT_SHELVE,
         *params, **setters):
    "A simple interface to a shelve. Use .help to see the available commands."
    sh = shelve.open(filename)
    try:
        if not any([help, showall, clear, delete, params, setters]):
            yield 'no arguments passed, use .help to see the available commands'
        elif help: # custom help
            yield 'Commands: .help, .showall, .clear, .delete'
            yield '<param> ...'
            yield '<param=value> ...'
        elif showall:
            for param, name in sh.items():
```

```
yield '%s=%s' % (param, name)
        elif clear:
            sh.clear()
            yield 'cleared the shelve'
        elif delete:
            try:
                del sh[delete]
            except KeyError:
                yield '%s: not found' % delete
            else:
                yield 'deleted %s' % delete
        for param in params:
            try:
                yield sh[param]
            except KeyError:
                yield '%s: not found' % param
        for param, value in setters.items():
            sh[param] = value
            yield 'setting %s=%s' % (param, value)
    finally:
        sh.close()
main.add_help = False # there is a custom help, remove the default one
main.prefix_chars = '.' # use dot-prefixed commands
if __name__ == '__main__':
    for output in plac.call(main):
        print(output)
```

A few notes are in order:

- 1. I have disabled the ordinary help provided by argparse and I have implemented a custom help command.
- 2. I have changed the prefix character used to recognize the options to a dot.
- 3. Keyword arguments recognition (in the **setters) is used to make it possible to store a value in the shelve with the syntax param_name=param_value.
- 4. *params are used to retrieve parameters from the shelve and some error checking is performed in the case of missing parameters
- 5. A command to clear the shelve is implemented as a flag (.clear).
- 6. A command to delete a given parameter is implemented as an option (.delete).
- 7. There is an option with default (.filename=conf.shelve) to store the filename of the shelve.
- 8. All things considered, the code looks like a poor man object oriented interface implemented with a chain of elifs instead of methods. Of course, plac can do better than that, but let me start from a low-level approach first.

If you run ishelve.py without arguments you get the following message:

```
$ python ishelve.py
no arguments passed, use .help to see the available commands
```

If you run ishelve.py with the option .h (or any abbreviation of .help) you get:

```
$ python ishelve.py .h
Commands: .help, .showall, .clear, .delete
<param> ...
<param=value> ...
```

You can check by hand that the tool work:

```
$ python ishelve.py .clear # start from an empty shelve
cleared the shelve
$ python ishelve.py a=1 b=2
setting a=1
setting b=2
$ python ishelve.py .showall
b=2
a=1
$ python ishelve.py .del b # abbreviation for .delete
deleted b
$ python ishelve.py a
1
$ python ishelve.py b
b: not found
$ python ishelve.py .cler # mispelled command
usage: ishelve.py [.help] [.showall] [.clear] [.delete DELETE]
                  [.filename /home/micheles/conf.shelve]
                  [params [params ...]] [setters [setters ...]]
ishelve.py: error: unrecognized arguments: .cler
```

plac vs argparse

plac is opinionated and by design it does not try to make available all of the features of argparse in an easy way. In particular you should be aware of the following limitations/differences (the following assumes knowledge of argparse):

- plac does not support the destination concept: the destination coincides with the name of the argument, always. This restriction has some drawbacks. For instance, suppose you want to define a long option called --yield. In this case the destination would be yield, which is a Python keyword, and since you cannot introduce an argument with that name in a function definition, it is impossible to implement it. Your choices are to change the name of the long option, or to use argparse with a suitable destination.
- plac does not support "required options". As the argparse documentation puts it: Required options are generally considered bad form - normal users expect options to be optional. You should avoid the use of required options whenever possible. Notice that since argparse supports them, plac can manage them too, but not directly.
- plac supports only regular boolean flags. argparse has the ability to define generalized two-value flags with values different from True and False. An earlier version of plac had this feature too, but since you can use options with two choices instead, and in any case the conversion from {True, False} to any couple of values can be trivially implemented with a ternary operator (value1 if flag else value2), I have removed it (KISS rules!).
- plac does not support nargs options directly (it uses them internally, though, to implement flag recognition). The reason it that all the use cases of interest to me are covered by plac and did not feel the need to increase the learning curve by adding direct support for nargs.

- plac does support subparsers, but you must read the advanced usage document to see how it works.
- plac does not support actions directly. This also looks like a feature too advanced for the goals of plac. Notice however that the ability to define your own annotation objects (again, see the advanced usage document) may mitigate the need for custom actions.

plac can leverage directly on many argparse features.

For instance, you can make invisible an argument in the usage message simply by using '==SUPPRESS==' as help string (or argparse.SUPPRESS). Similarly, you can use argparse.FileType directly.

It is also possible to pass options to the underlying argparse.ArgumentParser object (currently it accepts the default arguments description, epilog, prog, usage, add_help, argument_default, parents, prefix_chars, fromfile_prefix_chars, conflict_handler, formatter_class). It is enough to set such attributes on the main function. For instance

```
def main(...):
    pass
main.add_help = False
```

disables the recognition of the help flag -h, --help. This mechanism does not look particularly elegant, but it works well enough. I assume that the typical user of plac will be happy with the defaults and would not want to change them; still it is possible if she wants to.

For instance, by setting the description attribute, it is possible to add a comment to the usage message (by default the docstring of the main function is used as description).

It is also possible to change the option prefix; for instance if your script must run under Windows and you want to use "/" as option prefix you can add the line:

main.prefix_chars='/-'

The first prefix char (/) is used as the default for the recognition of options and flags; the second prefix char (-) is kept to keep the -h/--help option working: however you can disable it and reimplement it, if you like, as seen in the ishelve example.

It is possible to access directly the underlying ArgumentParser object, by invoking the plac.parser_from utility function:

```
>>> import plac
>>> def main(arg):
... pass
...
>>> print(plac.parser_from(main)) #doctest: +ELLIPSIS
ArgumentParser(prog=...)
```

Internally plac.call uses plac.parser_from and adds the parser to the main function as an attribute. When plac.call(func) is invoked multiple time, the parser is re-used and not rebuilt from scratch again.

I use plac.parser_from in the unit tests of the module, but regular users should not need to use it, unless they want to access *all* of the features of argparse directly without calling the main function.

Interested readers should read the documentation of argparse to understand the meaning of the other options. If there is a set of options that you use very often, you may consider writing a decorator adding such options to the main function for you. For simplicity, plac does not perform any magic except the

addition of the .p attribute.

plac vs the rest of the world

Originally plac boasted about being "the easiest command-line arguments parser in the world". Since then, people started pointing out to me various projects which are based on the same idea (extracting the parser from the main function signature) and are arguably even easier than plac:

- opterator by Dusty Phillips
- CLIArgs by Pavel Panchekha

Luckily for me none of such projects had the idea of using function annotations and argparse; as a consequence, they are no match for the capabilities of plac.

Of course, there are tons of other libraries to parse the command line. For instance Clap by Matthew Frazier which appeared on PyPI just the day before plac; Clap is fine but it is certainly not easier than plac.

plac can also be used as a replacement of the cmd module in the standard library and as such it shares many features with the module cmd2 by Catherine Devlin. However, this is completely coincidental, since I became aware of the cmd2 module only after writing plac.

The future

Currently the core of plac is around 200 lines of code, not counting blanks, comments and docstrings. I do not plan to extend the core much in the future. The idea is to keep the module short: it is and it should remain a little wrapper over argparse. Actually I have thought about contributing the core back to argparse if plac becomes successfull and gains a reasonable number of users. For the moment it should be considered in alpha status.

Notice that even if plac has been designed to be simple to use for simple stuff, its power should not be underestimated; it is actually a quite advanced tool with a domain of applicability which far exceeds the realm of command-line arguments parsers.

Version 0.5 of plac doubled the code base and the documentation: it is based on the idea of using plac to implement command-line interpreters, i.e. something akin to the cmd module in the standard library, only better. The new features of plac are described in the advanced usage document. They are implemented in a separated module ($plac_ext.py$), since they require Python 2.5 to work, whereas $plac_core.py$ only requires Python 2.3.

Trivia: the story behind the name

The plac project started very humbly: I just wanted to make easy_installable my old optionparse recipe, and to publish it on PyPI. The original name of plac was optionparser and the idea behind it was to build an OptionParser object from the docstring of the module. However, before doing that, I decided to check out the argparse module, since I knew it was going into Python 2.7 and Python 2.7 was coming out. Soon enough I realized two things:

- 1. the single greatest idea of argparse was unifying the positional arguments and the options in a single namespace object;
- 2. parsing the docstring was so old-fashioned, considering the existence of functions annotations in Python 3.

Putting together these two observations with the original idea of inferring the parser I decided to build an ArgumentParser object from function annotations. The <code>optionparser</code> name was ruled out, since I was now using argparse; a name like <code>argparse_plus</code> was also ruled out, since the typical usage was completely different from the argparse usage.

I made a research on PyPI and the name *clap* (Command Line Arguments Parser) was not taken, so I renamed everything to clap. After two days a Clap module appeared on PyPI <expletives deleted>!

Having little imagination, I decided to rename everything again to plac, an anagram of clap: since it is a non-existing English name, I hope nobody will steal it from me!

That's all, I hope you will enjoy working with plac!

Advanced usages of plac

Introduction

One of the design goals of plac is to make it dead easy to write a scriptable and testable interface for an application. You can use plac whenever you have an API with strings in input and strings in output, and that includes a *huge* domain of applications.

A string-oriented interface is a scriptable interface by construction. That means that you can define a command language for your application and that it is possible to write scripts which are interpretable by plac and can be run as batch scripts.

Actually, at the most general level, you can see plac as a generic tool to write domain specific languages (DSL). With plac you can test your application interactively as well as with batch scripts, and even with the analogous of Python doctests for your defined language.

You can easily replace the cmd module of the standard library and you could easily write an application like twill with plac. Or you could use it to script your building procedure. plac also supports parallel execution of multiple commands and can be used as task manager and monitor. It is also quite easy to build a GUI or a Web application on top of plac. When speaking of things you can do with plac, your imagination is the only limit!

From scripts to interactive applications

Command-line scripts have many advantages, but they are no substitute for interactive applications. In particular, if you have a script with a large startup time which must be run multiple times, it is best to turn it into an interactive application, so that the startup is performed only once. plac provides an Interpreter class just for this purpose.

The Interpreter class wraps the main function of a script and provides an .interact method to start an interactive interpreter reading commands from the console.

For instance, you can define an interactive interpreter on top of the ishelve script introduced in the basic documentation as follows:

```
# shelve_interpreter.py
import plac, ishelve
@plac.annotations(
    interactive=('start interactive interface', 'flag'),
    subcommands='the commands of the underlying ishelve interpreter')
def main(interactive, *subcommands):
    """
    This script works both interactively and non-interactively.
    Use .help to see the internal commands.
    """
    if interactive:
        plac.Interpreter(ishelve.main).interact()
    else:
        for out in plac.call(ishelve.main, subcommands):
```

```
print(out)
if __name__ == '__main__':
    plac.call(main)
```

A trick has been used here: the ishelve command-line interface has been hidden inside an external interface. They are distinct: for instance the external interface recognizes the -h/--help flag whereas the internal interface only recognizes the .help command:

\$ python shelve_interpreter.py -h

Thanks to this ingenuous trick, the script can be run both interactively and non-interactively:

```
$ python shelve_interpreter.py .clear # non-interactive use
cleared the shelve
```

Here is an usage session:

```
$ python shelve_interpreter.py -i # interactive use
A simple interface to a shelve. Use .help to see the available commands.
i> .help
Commands: .help, .showall, .clear, .delete
<param> ...
<param=value> ...
i> a=1
setting a=1
i> a
1
i> b=2
setting b=2
i> a b
1
2
i> .del a
deleted a
i> a
a: not found
i> .show
b=2
```

i> [CTRL-D]

The .interact method reads commands from the console and send them to the underlying interpreter, until the user send a CTRL-D command (CTRL-Z in Windows). There is a default argument prompt='i> ' which can be used to change the prompt. The text displayed at the beginning of the interactive session is the docstring of the main function. plac also understands command abbreviations: in this example del is an abbreviation for delete. In case of ambiguous abbreviations plac raises a NameError.

Finally I must notice that the plac.Interpreter is available only if you are using a recent version of Python (>= 2.5), because it is a context manager object which uses extended generators internally.

Testing a plac application

You can conveniently test your application in interactive mode. However manual testing is a poor substitute for automatic testing.

In principle, one could write automatic tests for the ishelve application by using plac.call directly:

```
# test_ishelve.py
import plac, ishelve

def test():
    assert plac.call(ishelve.main, ['.clear']) == ['cleared the shelve']
    assert plac.call(ishelve.main, ['a=1']) == ['setting a=1']
    assert plac.call(ishelve.main, ['a']) == ['1']
    assert plac.call(ishelve.main, ['.delete=a']) == ['deleted a']
    assert plac.call(ishelve.main, ['a']) == ['a: not found']

if __name__ == '__main__':
    test()
```

However, using plac.call is not especially nice. The big issue is that plac.call responds to invalid input by printing an error message on stderr and by raising a SystemExit: this is certainly not a nice thing to do in a test.

As a consequence of this behavior it is impossible to test for invalid commands, unless you wrap the SystemExit exception by hand each time (a possibly you do something with the error message in stderr too). Luckily, plac offers a better testing support through the check method of Interpreter objects:

```
# test_ishelve_more.py
from __future__ import with_statement
import plac, ishelve

def test():
    with plac.Interpreter(ishelve.main) as i:
        i.check('.clear', 'cleared the shelve')
        i.check('a=1', 'setting a=1')
        i.check('a', '1')
        i.check('.delete=a', 'deleted a')
        i.check('a', 'a: not found')
```

The method .check(given_input, expected_output) works on strings and raises an AssertionError if the output produced by the interpreter is different from the expected output for the given input. Notice that AssertionError is catched by tools like py.test and nosetests and actually plac tests are intended to be run with such tools.

Interpreters offer a minor syntactic advantage with respect to calling plac.call directly, but they offer a *major* semantic advantage when things go wrong (read exceptions): an Interpreter object internally invokes something like plac.call, but it wraps all exceptions, so that i.check is guaranteed not to raise any exception except AssertionError.

Even the SystemExit exception is captured and you can write your test as

i.check('-cler', 'SystemExit: unrecognized arguments: -cler')

without risk of exiting from the Python interpreter.

There is a second advantage of interpreters: if the main function contains some initialization code and finalization code (__enter__ and __exit__ functions) they will be run only once at the beginning and at the end of the interpreter loop.plac.call instead ignores the initialization/finalization code.

Plac easy tests

Writing your tests in terms of Interpreter.check is certainly an improvement over writing them in terms of plac.call, but they are still too low-level for my taste. The Interpreter class provides support for doctest-style tests, a.k.a. *plac easy tests*.

By using plac easy tests you can cut and paste your interactive session and turn it into a runnable automatics test. Consider for instance the following file ishelve.placet (the .placet extension is a mnemonic for plac easy tests):

```
#!ishelve.py
i> .clear # start from a clean state
cleared the shelve
i> a=1
setting a=1
i> a
1
i> .del a
deleted a
i> a
a: not found
i> .cler # spelling error
.cler: not found
```

Notice the precence of the shebang line containing the name of the plac tool to test (a plac tool is just a Python module with a function called main). The shebang is ignored by the interpreter (it looks like a comment to it) but it is there so that external tools (say a test runner) can infer the plac interpreter to use to test the file.

You can test ishelve.placet file by calling the .doctest method of the interpreter, as in this example:

```
$ python -c"import plac, ishelve
plac.Interpreter(ishelve.main).doctest(open('ishelve.placet'), verbose=True)"
```

Internally Interpreter.doctests invokes something like Interpreter.check multiple times inside the same context and compare the output with the expected output: if even a check fails, the whole test fail.

You should realize that he easy tests supported by plac are *not* unittests: they are functional tests. They model then user interaction and the order of the operations generally matters. The single subtests in a .placet file are not independent and it makes sense to exit immediately at the first failure.

The support for doctests in plac comes nearly for free, thanks to the shlex module in the standard library, which is able to parse simple languages as the ones you can implement with plac. In particular, thanks to shlex, plac is able to recognize comments (the default comment character is #), escape sequences and more. Look at the shlex documentation if you need to customize how the language is interpreted. For more flexibility, it is even possible to pass to the interpreter a custom split function with signature split(line, commentchar).

In addition, I have implemented from scratch some support for line number recognition, so that if a test fail you get the line number of the failing command. This is especially useful if your tests are stored in external files, even if plac easy tests does not need to be in a file: you can just pass to the .doctest method a list of strings corresponding to the lines of the file.

At the present plac does not use any code from the doctest module, but the situation may change in the future (it would be nice if plac could reuse doctests directives like ELLIPSIS).

It is straighforward to integrate your .placet tests with standard testing tools. For instance, you can integrate your doctests with nose or py.test as follow:

```
import os, shlex, plac
def test_doct():
    """
    Find all the doctests in the current directory and run them with the
    corresponding plac interpreter (the shebang rules!)
    """
    placets = [f for f in os.listdir('.') if f.endswith('.placet')]
    for placet in placets:
        lines = list(open(placet))
        assert lines[0].startswith('#!'), 'Missing or incorrect shebang line!'
        firstline = lines[0][2:] # strip the shebang
        main = plac.import_main(*shlex.split(firstline))
        yield plac.Interpreter(main).doctest, lines[1:]
```

Here you should notice that usage of plac.import_main, an utility which is able to import the main function of the script specified in the shebang line. You can use both the full path name of the tool, or a relative path name. In this case the runner look at the environment variable PLACPATH and it searches the plac tool in the directories specified there (PLACPATH is just a string containing directory names separated by colons). If the variable PLACPATH is not defined, it just looks in the current directory. If the plac tool is not found, an ImportError is raised.

Plac batch scripts

It is pretty easy to realize that an interactive interpreter can also be used to run batch scripts: instead of reading the commands from the console, it is enough to read the commands from a file. plac interpreters provide an .execute method to perform just that.

There is just a subtle point to notice: whereas in an interactive loop one wants to manage all exceptions, a batch script should not in the background in case of unexpected errors. The implementation of Interpreter.execute makes sure that any error raised by plac.call internally is re-raised. In other words, plac interpreters *wrap the errors, but does not eat them*: the errors are always accessible and can be re-raised on demand.

The exception is the case of invalid commands, which are skipped. Consider for instance the following batch file, which contains a mispelled command (.dl instead of .del):

```
#!ishelve.py
.clear
```

a=1 b=2 .show .del a .dl b .show

If you execute the batch file, the interpreter will print a .dl: not found at the .dl line and will continue:

```
$ python -c "import plac, ishelve
plac.Interpreter(ishelve.main).execute(open('ishelve.plac'), verbose=True)"
i> .clear
cleared the shelve
i> a=1 b=2
setting a=1
setting b=2
i> .show
b=2
a=1
i> .del a
deleted a
i> .dl b
2
.dl: not found
i> .show
b=2
```

The verbose flag is there to show the lines which are being interpreted (prefixed by i>). This is done on purpose, so that you can cut and paste the output of the batch script and turn it into a .placet test (cool, isn't it?).

Implementing subcommands

When I discussed the ishelve implementation in the basic documentation, I said that it looked like a poor man implementation of an object system as a chain of elifs; I also said that plac was able to do much better than that. Here I will substantiate my claim.

plac is actually able to infer a set of subparsers from a generic container of commands. This is useful if you want to implement *subcommands* (a familiar example of a command-line application featuring subcommands is subversion).

Technically a container of commands is any object with a .commands attribute listing a set of functions or methods which are valid commands. A command container may have initialization/finalization hooks (__enter__/_exit__) and dispatch hooks (__missing__, invoked for invalid command names). Moreover, only when using command containers plac is able to provide automatic autocompletion of commands.

The shelve interface can be rewritten in an object-oriented way as follows:

```
# ishelve2.py
import shelve, os, sys, plac
class ShelveInterface(object):
    "A minimal interface over a shelve object."
    commands = 'set', 'show', 'showall', 'delete'
```

```
@plac.annotations(
        configfile=('path name of the shelve', 'option'))
    def __init__(self, configfile):
        self.configfile = configfile or '~/conf.shelve'
        self.fname = os.path.expanduser(self.configfile)
        self.__doc__ += '\nOperating on %s.\n.help to see '\
            'the available commands.\n' % self.fname
    def enter (self):
        self.sh = shelve.open(self.fname)
        return self
    def __exit__(self, etype, exc, tb):
        self.sh.close()
    def set(self, name, value):
        "set name value"
        yield 'setting %s=%s' % (name, value)
        self.sh[name] = value
    def show(self, *names):
        "show given parameters"
        for name in names:
            yield '%s = %s' % (name, self.sh[name]) # no error checking
    def showall(self):
        "show all parameters"
        for name in self.sh:
            yield '%s = %s' % (name, self.sh[name])
    def delete(self, name=None):
        "delete given parameter (or everything)"
        if name is None:
            yield 'deleting everything'
            self.sh.clear()
        else:
            yield 'deleting %s' % name
            del self.sh[name] # no error checking
main = ShelveInterface # useful for the tests
if ___name___ == '___main___':
   plac.Interpreter.call(ShelveInterface)
```

plac.Interpreter objects wrap context manager objects consistently. In other words, if you wrap an object with __enter__ and __exit__ methods, they are invoked in the right order (__enter__ before the interpreter loop starts and __exit__ after the interpreter loop ends, both in the regular and in the exceptional case). In our example, the methods __enter__ and __exit__ make sure the the shelve is opened and closed correctly even in the case of exceptions. Notice that I have not implemented any error checking in the show and delete methods on purpose, to verify that plac works correctly in the presence of exceptions.

When working with command containers, plac automatically adds two special commands to the set of provided commands: .help and .last_tb. The .help command is the easier to understand: when invoked without arguments it displays the list of available commands with the same formatting of the cmd module; when invoked with the name of a command it displays the usage message for that command. The .last_tb command is useful when debugging: in case of errors, it allows you to display the traceback of the last executed command.

Here is a session of usage on an Unix-like operating system:

```
$ python ishelve2.py
A minimal interface over a shelve object.
Operating on /home/micheles/conf.shelve.
.help to see the available commands.
i> .help
special commands
  _____
.help .last_tb
custom commands
_____
delete set show showall
i> delete
deleting everything
i> set a pippo
setting a=pippo
i> set b lippo
setting b=lippo
i> showall
b = lippo
a = pippo
i> show a b
a = pippo
b = lippo
i> del a
deleting a
i> showall
b = lippo
i> delete a
deleting a
KeyError: 'a'
i> .last_tb
File "/usr/local/lib/python2.6/dist-packages/plac-0.6.0-py2.6.egg/plac_ext.py", line 190, in _wrap
   for value in genobj:
 File "./ishelve2.py", line 37, in delete
   del self.sh[name] # no error checking
 File "/usr/lib/python2.6/shelve.py", line 136, in __delitem_
    del self.dict[key]
i>
```

Notice that in interactive mode the traceback is hidden, unless you pass the verbose flag to the Interpreter.interact method.

plac.Interpreter.call

At the core of plac there is the call function which invokes a callable with the list of the arguments passed at the command-line (sys.argv[1:]). Thanks to plac.call you can launch your module by simply adding the lines:

```
if __name__ == '__main__':
    plac.call(main)
```

Everything works fine if main is a simple callable performing some action; however, in many cases, one has a main "function" which is a actually a factory returning a command container object. For instance, in my second shelve example the main function is the class ShelveInterface, and the two lines needed to run the module are a bit ugly:

```
if __name__ == '__main__':
    plac.Interpreter(plac.call(ShelveInterface)).interact()
```

Moreover, now the program runs, but only in interactive mode, i.e. it is not possible to run it as a script. It would be nice instead to be able to specify the command to execute on the command-line and have the

interpreter start, execute the command and finish properly (I mean by calling __enter__ and __exit__) without needing user input. The the script could be called from a batch shell script working in the background. In order to provide such functionality plac.Interpreter provides a classmethod named .call which takes the factory, instantiates it with the arguments read from the command line, wraps the resulting container object as an interpreter and runs it with the rest arguments found in the command line. Here is the code to turn the ShelveInterface into a script

```
# ishelve3.py
from ishelve2 import ShelveInterface as main
if __name__ == '__main__':
    import plac; plac.Interpreter.call(main)
```

and here are a few examples of usage:

If you pass the -i flag in the command line, then the script will enter in interactive mode and ask the user for the commands to execute:

```
$ python ishelve3.py -i
A minimal interface over a shelve object.
Operating on /home/micheles/conf.shelve.
.help to see the available commands.
i>
```

In a sense, I have closed the circle: at the beginning of this document I discussed how to turn a script into an interactive application (the shelve_interpreter.py example), whereas here I have show how to turn an interactive application into a script.

The complete signature of plac.Interpreter.call is the following:

The factory must have a fixed number of positional arguments (no default arguments, no varargs, no kwargs), otherwise a TypeError is raised: the reason is that we want to be able to distinguish the command-line arguments needed to instantiate the factory from the rest arguments that must be sent to

the corresponding interpreter object. It is also possible to specify a list of arguments different from sys.argv[1:] (useful in tests), the character to be recognized as a comment, the splitting function, the input source and the prompt to use while in interactive mode, and a verbose flag.

Readline support

Starting from release 0.6 plac offers full readline support. That means that if your Python was compiled with readline support you get autocompletion and persistent command history for free. By default all commands are autocomplete in a case sensitive way. If you want to add new words to the autocompletion set, or you want to change the location of the .history file, or to change the case sensitivity, the way to go is to pass a plac.ReadlineInput object to the interpreter. Here is an example, assuming you want to build a database interface understanding SQL commands:

```
import os, plac
from sqlalchemy.ext.sqlsoup import SqlSoup
SQLKEYWORDS = set(['select', 'from', 'inner', 'join', 'outer', 'left', 'right']
                  ) # and many others
DBTABLES = set(['table1', 'table2']) # you can read them from the db schema
COMPLETIONS = SQLKEYWORDS | DBTABLES
class SqlInterface(object):
    commands = ['SELECT']
    def __init__(self, dsn):
        self.soup = SqlSoup(dsn)
    def SELECT(self, argstring):
        sql = 'SELECT ' + argstring
        for row in self.soup.bind.execute(sql):
            yield str(row) # the formatting can be much improved
rl_input = plac.ReadlineInput(
    COMPLETIONS, histfile=os.path.expanduser('~/.sql_interface.history'),
    case_sensitive=False)
def split_on_first_space(line, commentchar):
    return line.strip().split(' ', 1) # ignoring comments
if __name__ == '__main__':
    plac.Interpreter.call(SqlInterface, split=split_on_first_space,
                          stdin=rl_input, prompt='sql> ')
```

Here is an example of usage:

```
$ python sql_interface.py <some dsn>
sql> SELECT a.* FROM TABLE1 AS a INNER JOIN TABLE2 AS b ON a.id = b.id
...
```

You can check that entering just sel and pressing TAB the readline library completes the SELECT keyword for you and makes it upper case; idem for FROM, INNER, JOIN and even for the names of the tables. An obvious improvement is to read the names of the tables by introspecting the database: actually you can even read the names of the views and of the columns, and have full autocompletion. All the entered commands and recorded and saved in the file ~/.sql_interface.history when exiting from the command-line interface.

If the readline library is not available, my suggestion is to use the rlwrap tool which provides similar features, at least on Unix-like platforms. plac should also work fine on Windows with the pyreadline library

(I do not use Windows, so this part is very little tested: I tried it only once and it worked, but your mileage may vary). For people worried about licenses, I will notice that plac uses the readline library only if available, it does not include it and it does not rely on it in any fundamental way, so that the plac licence does not need to be the GPL (actually it is a BSD do-whatever-you-want-with-it licence).

The interactive mode of plac can be used as a replacement of the cmd module in the standard library. It is actually better than cmd: for instance, the .help command is more powerful, since it provides information about the arguments accepted by the given command:

```
i> .help set
usage: set name value
set name value
positional arguments:
  name
  value
i> .help delete
usage: delete [name]
delete given parameter (or everything)
positional arguments:
  name
i> .help show
usage: show [names [names ...]]
show given parameters
positional arguments:
  names
```

As you can imagine, the help message is provided by the underlying argparse subparser (there is a subparser for each command). plac commands accept options, flags, varargs, keyword arguments, arguments with defaults, arguments with a fixed number of choices, type conversion and all the features provided of argparse which should be reimplemented from scratch using plac.

Moreover at the moment plac also understands command abbreviations. However, this feature may disappear in future releases. It was meaningful in the past, when plac did not support readline.

Notice that if an abbreviation is ambiguous, plac warns you:

```
i> sh
NameError: Ambiguous command 'sh': matching ['showall', 'show']
```

The plac runner

The distribution of plac includes a runner script named plac_runner.py, which will be installed in a suitable directory in your system by distutils (say in \usr\local\bin\plac_runner.py in a Unix-like operative system). The runner provides many facilities to run .plac scripts and .placet files, as well as Python modules containg a main object, which can be a function, a command container object or even a command container class.

For instance, suppose you want to execute a script containing commands defined in the ishelve2 module like the following one:

```
#!ishelve2.py:ShelveInterface -c ~/conf.shelve
set a 1
del a
del a # intentional error
```

The first line of the .plac script contains the name of the python module containing the plac interpreter and the arguments which must be passed to its main function in order to be able to instantiate an interpreter object. In this case I appended :ShelveInterface to the name of the module to specify the object that must be imported: if not specified, by default the object named 'main' is imported. The other lines contains commands. You can run the script as follows:

```
$ plac_runner.py --batch ishelve2.plac
setting a=1
deleting a
Traceback (most recent call last):
    ...
_bsddb.DBNotFoundError: (-30988, 'DB_NOTFOUND: No matching key/data pair found')
```

The last command intentionally contained an error, to show that the plac runner does not eat the traceback.

The runner can also be used to run Python modules in interactive mode and non-interactive mode. If you put this alias in your bashrc

```
alias plac="plac_runner.py"
```

(or you define a suitable plac.bat script in Windows) you can run the ishelve2.py script in interactive mode as follows:

```
$ plac -i ishelve2.py:ShelveInterface
A minimal interface over a shelve object.
Operating on /home/micheles/conf.shelve.
.help to see the available commands.
i> del
deleting everything
i> set a 1
setting a=1
i> set b 2
setting b=2
i> show b
b = 2
```

Now you can cut and paste the interactive session an turns into into a .placet file like the following:

```
#!ishelve2.py:ShelveInterface -configfile=~/test.shelve
i> del
deleting everything
i> set a 1
setting a=1
i> set b 2
setting b=2
i> show a
a = 1
```

Notice that the first line specifies a test database ~/test.shelve, to avoid clobbering your default shelve. If you mispell the arguments in the first line plac will give you an argparse error message (just try).

You can run placets following the shebang convention directly with the plac runner:

```
$ plac --test ishelve2.placet
run 1 plac test(s)
```

If you want to see the output of the tests, pass the -v/-verbose flag. Notice that he runner ignore the extension, so you can actually use any extension your like, but *it relies on the first line of the file to invoke the corresponding plac tool with the given arguments.*

The plac runner does not provide any test discovery facility, but you can use standard Unix tools to help. For instance, you can run all the .placet files into a directory and its subdirectories as follows:

```
$ find . -name \*.placet | xargs plac_runner.py -t
```

The plac runner expects the main function of your script to return a plac tool, i.e. a function or an object with a .commands attribute. It this is not the case the runner gracefully exits.

It also works in non-interactive mode, if you call it as

```
$ plac module.py args ...
```

Here is an example:

```
$ plac ishelve.py a=1
setting a=1
$ plac ishelve.py .show
a=1
```

Notice that in non-interactive mode the runner just invokes plac.call on the main object of the Python module.

A non class-based example

plac does not force you to use classes to define command containers. Even a simple function can be a valid command container, it is enough to add to it a .commands attribute and possibly __enter__ and/or __exit__ attributes.

In particular, a Python module is a perfect container of commands. As an example, consider the following module implementing a fake Version Control System:

```
"A Fake Version Control System"
import plac
commands = 'checkout', 'commit', 'status'
@plac.annotations(url='url of the source code')
def checkout(url):
    "A fake checkout command"
    return ('checkout ', url)
@plac.annotations(message=('commit message', 'option'))
def commit(message):
    "A fake commit command"
```

```
return ('commit ', message)
@plac.annotations(quiet=('summary information', 'flag', 'q'))
def status(quiet):
    "A fake status command"
    return ('status ', quiet)

def __missing__(name):
    return 'Command %r does not exist' % name

def __exit__(etype, exc, tb):
    "Will be called automatically at the end of the call/cmdloop"
    if etype in (None, GeneratorExit): # success
        print('ok')

main = __import__(__name__) # the module imports itself!
```

Notice that I have defined both an __exit__ hook and a __missing__ hook, invoked for non-existing commands. The real trick here is the line main = __import__(__name__), which define main to be an alias for the current module.

The vcs module does not contain an if __name__ == '__main__' block, but you can still run it through the plac runner (try plac vcs.py -h):

You can get help for the subcommands by postponing -h after the name of the command:

```
$ plac vcs.py status -h
usage: vcs.py status [-h] [-q]
A fake status command
optional arguments:
    -h, --help show this help message and exit
    -q, --quiet summary information
```

Notice how the docstring of the command is automatically shown in usage message, as well as the documentation for the sub flag -q.

Here is an example of a non-interactive session:

```
$ plac vcs.py check url
checkout
url
```

```
$ plac vcs.py st -q
status
True
$ plac vcs.py co
commit
None
```

and here is an interactive session:

```
$ plac -i vcs.py
usage: plac_runner.py vcs.py [-h] {status,commit,checkout} ...
i> check url
checkout
url
i> st -q
status
True
i> co
commit
None
i> sto
Command 'sto' does not exist
i> [CTRL-D]
ok
```

If the commands are completely independent, a module is a good fit for a method container. In other situations, it is best to use a custom class.

Writing your own plac runner

The runner included in the plac distribution is intentionally kept small (around 50 lines of code) so that you can study it and write your own runner if want to. If you need to go to such level of detail, you should know that the most important method of the Interpreter class is the .send method, which takes strings in input and returns a four-tuple with attributes .str, .etype, .exc and .tb:

- .str is the output of the command, if successful (a string);
- .etype is the class of the exception, if the command fail;
- .exc is the exception instance;
- .tb is the traceback.

Moreover the <u>__str__</u> representation of the output object is redefined to return the output string if the command was successful or the error message if the command failed (actually it returns the error message preceded by the name of the exception class).

For instance, if you send a mispelled option to the interpreter a SystemExit will be trapped:

```
>>> import plac
>>> from ishelve import ishelve
>>> with plac.Interpreter(ishelve) as i:
... print(i.send('.cler'))
...
```

SystemExit: unrecognized arguments: .cler

It is important to invoke the .send method inside the context manager, otherwise you will get a RuntimeError.

For instance, suppose you want to implement a graphical runner for a plac-based interpreter with two text widgets: one to enter the commands and one to display the results. Suppose you want to display the errors with tracebacks in red. You will need to code something like that (pseudocode follows):

```
input_widget = WidgetReadingInput()
output_widget = WidgetDisplayingOutput()

def send(interpreter, line):
    out = interpreter.send(line)
    if out.tb: # there was an error
        output_widget.display(out.tb, color='red')
    else:
        output_widget.display(out.str)

main = plac.import_main(tool_path) # get the main object
with plac.Interpreter(main) as i:
    def callback(event):
        if event.user_pressed_ENTER():
            send(i, input_widget.last_line)
    input_widget.addcallback(callback)
    gui_mainloop.start()
```

You can adapt the pseudocode to your GUI toolkit of choice and you can also change the file associations in such a way that clicking on a plac tool file the graphical user interface starts.

An example of GUI program built on top of plac is given later on, in the paragraph *Managing the output of concurrent commands* (using Tkinter for simplicity and portability).

There is a final *caveat*: since the plac interpreter loop is implemented via extended generators, plac interpreters are single threaded: you will get an error if you .send commands from separated threads. You can circumvent the problem by using a queue. If EXIT is a sentinel value to signal exiting from the interpreter look, you can write code like this:

```
with interpreter:
    for input_value in iter(input_queue.get, EXIT):
        output_queue.put(interpreter.send(input_value))
```

The same trick also work for processes; you could run the interpreter loop in a separate process and send commands to it via the Queue class provided by the multiprocessing module.

Long running commands

As we saw, by default a plac interpreter blocks until the command terminates. This is an issue, in the sense that it makes the interactive experience quite painful for long running commands. An example is better than a thousand words, so consider the following fake importer:

```
import time
import plac
```

```
class FakeImporter(object):
    "A fake importer with an import_file command"
    commands = ['import_file']
    def __init__(self, dsn):
        self.dsn = dsn
    def import_file(self, fname):
        "Import a file into the database"
        try:
            for n in range(10000):
                time.sleep(.01)
                if n % 100 == 99:
                    yield 'Imported %d lines' % (n+1)
        finally:
            print('closing the file')
if name == ' main ':
    plac.Interpreter.call(FakeImporter)
```

If you run the import_file command, you will have to wait for 200 seconds before entering a new command:

```
$ python importer1.py dsn -i
A fake importer with an import_file command
i> import_file file1
... <wait 3+ minutes>
Imported 100 lines
Imported 200 lines
Imported 300 lines
...
Imported 10000 lines
closing the file
```

Being unable to enter any other command is quite annoying: in such situation one would like to run the long running commands in the background, to keep the interface responsive. plac provides two ways to reach this goal: threads and processes.

Threaded commands

The most familiar way to execute a task in the background (even if not necessarily the best way) is to run it into a separated thread. In our example it is sufficient to replace the line

```
commands = ['import_file']
```

with

thcommands = ['import_file']

to tell to the plac interpreter that the command import_file should be run into a separated thread. Here is an example session:

```
i> import_file file1
<ThreadedTask 1 [import_file file1] RUNNING>
```

The import task started in a separated thread. You can see the progress of the task by using the special command .output:

```
i> .output 1
<ThreadedTask 1 [import_file file1] RUNNING>
Imported 100 lines
Imported 200 lines
```

If you look after a while, you will get more lines of output:

```
i> .output 1
<ThreadedTask 1 [import_file file1] RUNNING>
Imported 100 lines
Imported 200 lines
Imported 300 lines
Imported 400 lines
```

If you look after a time long enough, the task will be finished:

```
i> .output 1
<ThreadedTask 1 [import_file file1] FINISHED>
```

It is possible to store the output of a task into a file, to be read later (this is useful for tasks with a large output):

```
i> .output 1 /tmp/out.txt
saved output of 1 into /tmp/out.txt
```

You can even skip the number argument: then .output will the return the output of the last launched command (the special commands like .output do not count).

You can launch many tasks one after the other:

```
i> import_file file2
<ThreadedTask 5 [import_file file2] RUNNING>
i> import_file file3
<ThreadedTask 6 [import_file file3] RUNNING>
```

The .list command displays all the running tasks:

```
i> .list
<ThreadedTask 5 [import_file file2] RUNNING>
<ThreadedTask 6 [import_file file3] RUNNING>
```

It is even possible to kill a task:

```
i> .kill 5
<ThreadedTask 5 [import_file file2] TOBEKILLED>
# wait a bit ...
closing the file
i> .output 5
<ThreadedTask 5 [import_file file2] KILLED>
```

You should notice that since at the Python level it is impossible to kill a thread, the .kill commands works by setting the status of the task to TOBEKILLED. Internally the generator corresponding to the command is executed in the thread and the status is checked at each iteration: when the status become

TOBEKILLED a GeneratorExit exception is raised and the thread terminates (softly, so that the finally clause is honored). In our example the generator is yielding back control once every 100 iterations, i.e. every two seconds (not much). In order to get a responsive interface it is a good idea to yield more often, for instance every 10 iterations (i.e. 5 times per second), as in the following code:

```
import time
import plac
class FakeImporter(object):
    "A fake importer with an import_file command"
    thcommands = ['import_file']
    def __init__(self, dsn):
        self.dsn = dsn
    def import file(self, fname):
        "Import a file into the database"
        try:
            for n in range(10000):
                time.sleep(.02)
                if n % 100 == 99: # every two seconds
                    yield 'Imported %d lines' % (n+1)
                if n % 10 == 9: # every 0.2 seconds
                    yield # go back and check the TOBEKILLED status
        finally:
            print('closing the file')
if __name__ == '__main__':
    plac.Interpreter.call(FakeImporter)
```

Running commands as external processes

Threads are not loved much in the Python world and actually most people prefer to use processes instead. For this reason plac provides the option to execute long running commands as external processes. Unfortunately the current implementation only works in Unix-like operating systems (including Mac OS X) because it relies on fork via the multiprocessing module.

In our example, to enable the feature it is sufficient to replace the line

thcommands = ['import_file']

with

mpcommands = ['import_file'].

The user experience is exactly the same as with threads and you will not see any difference at the user interface level:

```
i> import_file file3
<MPTask 1 [import_file file3] SUBMITTED>
i> .kill 1
<MPTask 1 [import_file file3] RUNNING>
closing the file
i> .o 1
<MPTask 1 [import_file file3] KILLED>
Imported 100 lines
Imported 200 lines
i>
```

Still, using processes is quite different than using threads: in particular, when using processes you can only yield pickleable values and you cannot re-raise an exception first raised in a different process, because traceback objects are not pickleable. Moreover, you cannot rely on automatic sharing of your objects.

On the plus side, when using processes you do not need to worry about killing a command: they are killed immediately using a SIGTERM signal, and there is not a TOBEKILLED mechanism. Moreover, the killing is guaranteed to be soft: internally a command receiving a SIGTERM raises a TerminatedProcess exception which is trapped in the generator loop, so that the command is closed properly.

Using processes allows to take full advantage of multicore machines and it is safer than using threads, so it is the recommended approach unless you are working on Windows.

Managing the output of concurrent commands

plac acts as a command-line task launcher and can be used as the base to build a GUI-based task launcher and task monitor. To this aim the interpreter class provides a .submit method which returns a task object and a .tasks method returning the list of all the tasks submitted to the interpreter. The submit method does not start the task and thus it is nonblocking. Each task has an .outlist attribute which is a list storing the value yielded by the generator underlying the task (the None values are skipped though): the .outlist grows as the task runs and more values are yielded. Accessing the .outlist is nonblocking and can be done freely. Finally there is a .result property which waits for the task to finish and returns the last yielded value or raises an exception.

Here is some example code to visualize the output of the FakeImporter in Tkinter (I chose Tkinter because it is easy to use and it is in the standard library, but you can use any GUI):

```
from Tkinter import *
from importer3 import FakeImporter
def taskwidget(root, task, tick=500):
    "A Label widget showing the output of a task every 500 ms"
    sv = StringVar(root)
    lb = Label(root, textvariable=sv)
    def show_outlist():
       try:
            out = task.outlist[-1]
        except IndexError: # no output yet
            out = ''
        sv.set('%s %s' % (task, out))
        root.after(tick, show_outlist)
    root.after(0, show_outlist)
    return lb
def monitor(tasks):
    root = Tk()
    for task in tasks:
        task.run()
        taskwidget(root, task).pack()
    root.mainloop()
if __name__ == '__main__':
    import plac
    with plac.Interpreter(plac.call(FakeImporter)) as i:
        tasks = [i.submit('import_file f1'), i.submit('import_file f2')]
        monitor(tasks)
```

Parallel computing with plac

plac is certainly not intended as a tool for parallel computing, but still you can use it to launch a set of commands and to collect the results, similarly to the MapReduce pattern popularized by Google. In order to give an example, I will consider the "Hello World" of parallel computing, i.e. the computation of pi with independent processes. There is a huge number of algorithms to compute pi; here I will describe a trivial one chosen for simplicity, not per efficienty. The trick is to consider the first quadrant of a circle with radius 1 and to extract a number of points (x, y) with x and y random variables in the interval [0,1]. The probability of extracting a number inside the quadrant (i.e. with $x^2 + y^2 < 1$) is proportional to the area of the quadrant (i.e. pi/4). The value of pi therefore can be extracted by multiplying by 4 the ratio between the number of points in the quadrant versus the total number of points N, for N large:

```
def calc_pi(N):
    inside = 0
    for j in xrange(N):
        x, y = random(), random()
        if x*x + y*y < 1:
            inside += 1
    return (4.0 * inside) / N</pre>
```

The algorithm is trivially parallelizable: if you have n CPUs, you can compute pi n times with N/n iterations, sum the results and divide the total by n. I have a Macbook with two cores, therefore I would expect a speedup factor of 2 with respect to a sequential computation. Moreover, I would expect a threaded computation to be even slower than a sequential computation, due to the GIL and the scheduling overhead.

Here is a script implementing the algorithm and working in three different modes (parallel mode, threaded mode and sequential mode) depending on a mode option:

```
from __future__ import with_statement
from random import random
import multiprocessing
import plac
class PiCalculator(object):
    ""Compute pi in parallel with threads or processes""
    @plac.annotations(
        npoints=('number of integration points', 'positional', None, int),
        mode=('sequential|parallel|threaded', 'option', 'm', str, 'SPT'))
    def __init__(self, npoints, mode='S'):
        self.npoints = npoints
        if mode == 'P':
            self.mpcommands = ['calc_pi']
        elif mode == 'T':
            self.thcommands = ['calc_pi']
        elif mode == 'S':
            self.commands = ['calc_pi']
        self.n_cpu = multiprocessing.cpu_count()
    def submit_tasks(self):
        self.i = plac.Interpreter(self).__enter__()
        return [self.i.submit('calc_pi %d' % (self.npoints / self.n_cpu))
                for _ in range(self.n_cpu)]
```

```
def close(self):
        self.i.close()
    @plac.annotations(
        npoints=('npoints', 'positional', None, int))
    def calc_pi(self, npoints):
        counts = 0
        for j in xrange(npoints):
            n, r = divmod(j, 100000)
            if r == 0:
                yield '%dM iterations' % n
            x, y = random(), random()
            if x^*x + y^*y < 1:
                counts += 1
        yield (4.0 * counts)/npoints
   def run(self):
        tasks = self.i.tasks()
        for t in tasks:
            t.run()
        trv:
            total = 0
            for task in tasks:
                total += task.result
        except: # the task was killed
            print tasks
            return
        return total / self.n_cpu
if name == ' main ':
   pc = plac.call(PiCalculator)
   pc.submit_tasks()
    try:
        import time; t0 = time.time()
        print '%f in %f seconds ' % (pc.run(), time.time() - t0)
    finally:
       pc.close()
```

Notice the submit_tasks method, which instantiates and initializes a plac.Interpreter object and submits a number of commands corresponding to the number of available CPUs. The calc_pi command yield a log message every million of interactions, just to monitor the progress of the computation. The run method starts all the submitted commands in parallel and sums the results. It returns the average value of pi after the slowest CPU has finished its job (if the CPUs are equal and equally busy they should finish more or less at the same time).

Here are the results on my old Macbook with Ubuntu 10.04 and Python 2.6, for 10 million of iterations:

```
$ python picalculator.py -mP 10000000 # two processes
3.141904 in 5.744545 seconds
$ python picalculator.py -mT 10000000 # two threads
3.141272 in 13.875645 seconds
$ python picalculator.py -mS 10000000 # sequential
3.141586 in 11.353841 seconds
```

As you see using processes one gets a 2x speedup indeed, where the threaded mode is some 20% slower than the sequential mode.

Since the pattern submit a bunch of tasks, starts them and collect the results is so common, plac provides an utility function runp(genseq, mode='p', start=True) to start a bunch a generators and return a list of task objects. By default runp use processes, but you can use threads by passing mode='t'. If you do not wont to start the tasks, you can say so (start=False). With runp the parallel pi calculation becomes a one-liner:

sum(task.result for task in plac.runp(calc_pi(N) for i in range(ncpus)))/ncpus

The plac server

A command-line oriented interface can be easily converted into a socket-based interface. Starting from release 0.7 plac features a builtin server which is able to accept commands from multiple clients and to execute them. The server works by instantiating a separate interpreter for each client, so that if a client interpreter dies for any reason the other interpreters keep working. To avoid external dependencies the server is based on the asynchat module in the standard library, but it would not be difficult to replace the server with a different one (for instance, a Twisted server). Since asynchat-based servers are asynchronous, any blocking command in the interpreter should be run in a separated process or thread. The default port for the plac server is 2199, and the command to signal end-of-connection is EOF. For instance, here is how you could manage remote import on a database (say a SQLite db):

```
import plac
from importer2 import FakeImporter
def main(port=2199):
    main = FakeImporter('dsn')
    plac.Interpreter(main).start_server(port)
if __name__ == '__main__':
    plac.call(main)
```

You can connect to the server with telnet on port 2199, as follows:

```
$ telnet localhost 2199
Trying ::1...
Trying 127.0.0.1...
Connected to localhost.
Escape character is '^]'.
i> import_file f1
i> .list
<ThreadedTask 1 [import_file f1] RUNNING>
i> .out
Imported 100 lines
Imported 200 lines
i> EOF
Connection closed by foreign host.
```

Summary

Once plac claimed to be the easiest command-line arguments parser in the world. Having read this document you may think that it is not so easy after all. But it is a false impression. Actually the rules are quite simple:

- 1. if you want to implement a command-line script, use plac.call;
- 2. if you want to implement a command interpreter, use plac. Interpreter:
 - for an interactive interpreter, call the .interact method;
 - for an batch interpreter, call the .execute method;
- 3. for testing call the Interpreter.check method in the appropriate context or use the Interpreter.doctest feature;
- 4. if you need to go at a lower level, you may need to call the Interpreter.send method which returns a (finished) Task object.
- 5. long running command can be executed in the background as threads or processes: just declare them in the lists theoremands and mpcommands respectively.
- 6. the .start_server method starts an asynchronous server on the given port number (default 2199)

Moreover, remember that plac_runner.py is your friend.

Appendix: custom annotation objects

Internally plac uses an Annotation class to convert the tuples in the function signature into annotation objects, i.e. objects with six attributes help, kind, short, type, choices, metavar.

Advanced users can implement their own annotation objects. For instance, here is an example of how you could implement annotations for positional arguments:

```
# annotations.py
class Positional(object):
    def __init__(self, help='', type=None, choices=None, metavar=None):
        self.help = help
        self.kind = 'positional'
        self.abbrev = None
        self.type = type
        self.choices = choices
        self.metavar = metavar
```

You can use such annotations objects as follows:

```
# example11.py
import plac
from annotations import Positional
@plac.annotations(
    i=Positional("This is an int", int),
    n=Positional("This is a float", float),
    rest=Positional("Other arguments"))
def main(i, n, *rest):
    print(i, n, rest)
if __name__ == '__main__':
    import plac; plac.call(main)
```

Here is the usage message you get:

```
usage: example11.py [-h] i n [rest [rest ...]]
positional arguments:
    i         This is an int
    n         This is a float
    rest        Other arguments

optional arguments:
    -h, --help show this help message and exit
```

You can go on and define Option and Flag classes, if you like. Using custom annotation objects you could do advanced things like extracting the annotations from a configuration file or from a database, but I expect such use cases to be quite rare: the default mechanism should work pretty well for most users.